52. Acid Salts of Monobasic Organic Acids. Part IV. The Salting-out Effect.

By JOHN D. M. ROSS, THOMAS J. MORRISON, and CECIL JOHNSTONE.

The manner in which the extent of compound formation between mandelic acid and metallic mandelates is modified by the salting-out effects of the latter has been studied by an examination of the solubilities of the acid in a series of metallic chlorides and nitrates. After applying a correction for the salting-out effect of the metallic ions, we conclude that the extent of compound formation in all the systems studied is the same, and, therefore, independent of the nature of the metallic ion present. The extent of compound formation for racemic mandelic acid is found to be about twice as great as with the active acid.

THE extent of compound formation between mandelic acid, both racemic and active, and various metallic mandelates has already been studied by us (J., 1933, 1016; 1936, 867; 1937, 608). In all the systems examined it is notable that the solubility of racemic and active mandelic acid increases with increasing concentration of the normal salt, whereas the law of mass action would lead one to expect a decrease in solubility on addition of a salt containing an ion in common with the acid. Larsson (Z. physikal. Chem., 1930, 148, 148; 1931, 153, 306) and Kolthoff and Bosch (J. Physical Chem., 1932, 36, 1685) have shown that the solubility of benzoic acid is increased by the addition of sodium and potassium benzoates, and a similar result is recorded by Osol and Kilpatrick (J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55, 4430) for o-chlorobenzoic acid and its sodium salt.

Some of those investigators agree that the simplest way of explaining this increase in solubility is to assume that complex formation of some type occurs in solution between the acid and its salt, so that the active mass of the acid in solution is kept constant by the dissolution of more acid. Since complexes can be isolated in the solid form from such solutions, this assumption seems to be justified.

The salts of r-mandelic acid affect its solubility to a decreasing extent in the following order: Univalent ions, $Cs > Tl > Rb > K > NH_4 > Na > Li$; bivalent ions, Ba > Sr > Mg. For the active acid and its salts the order of the effect is similar: Univalent ions, $Tl > Cs > Rb > K > NH_4 > Na > Li$; bivalent ions, Sr > Ba > Ca > Mg. We might conclude from these results alone that the tendency towards acid salt formation is in both cases greatest for thallium and cæsium, and least for lithium and magnesium. However, it is well known that metallic ions produce a salting-out effect, which is dependent on the size of the ions, being greatest for the small lithium ions and least for the larger

cæsium ions. This affects the solubility of the acid in the opposite direction to that of compound formation, which corresponds to a salting-in effect. By a study of the variation of the solubility of mandelic acid in presence of a series of chlorides and nitrates of the alkali and alkaline-earth metals, we have obtained data which enable us to calculate the extent of this salting-out effect, and so apply a correction for it.

It is found that the salting-out effects of the metallic ions on r-mandelic acid increase in the following order: $Cs < Rb < K < NH_4 < Na < Li$; Ba < Sr < Mg; and for the active acid the order is the same for the alkali metals, but Sr < Ba < Ca < Mg for the alkaline earths. In Table I the solubility (g.-equiv./1000 g. of water) of mandelic acid is recorded along with the concentration of added salt (c) and the solubility ratio s/s_0 , where s and s_0 are the solubilities of mandelic acid in the salt solution and in water respectively. From these figures the values of K have been found, K being the well-known salting-out constant obtained from the equation $\log s/s_0 = Kc$ (Setschenow, Mém. Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Petersburg, 7, 22, No. 6; Z. physikal. Chem., 1889, 4, 117). Since this equation holds accurately only for sparingly soluble weak electrolytes, K cannot in this case be expected to be absolutely constant, and its average value from c = ca. 0·1 to c = ca. 0·4 has been chosen as representative: for values of c less than 0·1, the value of s/s_0 differs very little from 1·0, and so calculated values of K are unreliable.

m.	т
IARIE	
INDLE	т.

				Solubility of Solubility of	r-mand ()max	elic acid ndelic ac	= 1.3 id = 0.7	35. 38.				
Acid,				Acid				Acid				
concn.	s/s0.	с.	K.	concn.	s/s _o .	с.	K.	concn.	s/s ₀ .	с.	K.	
Ca	æsium r-	mandela	te.	Th	allous r-	-mandela	te.	Rub	Rubidium r-mandelate.			
1.714	1.283	0.128	0.85	1.583	1.186	0.112	0.66	1.652	1.237	0.143	0.65	
2.039	1.527	0.217	0.85	1.790	1.341	0.177	0.71	1.851	1.387	0.210	0.67	
	A	verage =	= 0.85		A	verage =	= 0.68		A	verage =	÷ 0·66	
Cæ.	sium (–)mandel	ate.	Tha	llous (–	-)mandel	ate.	Rubi	dium (-	–)mandel	late.	
0.777	1.054	0.112	0.20	0.874	1.184	0.153	0.48	0.766	1.039	0.100	0.17	
0.857	1.161	0.210	0.30	0.915	1.240	0.222	0.42	0.837	1.135	0.200	0.27	
0.971	1.310	0.310	0.38	1.084	1.470	0.324	0.51	0.922	1.250	0.300	0.32	
	A	verage =	= 0.30		A	verage =	= 0.47	1.035	1.403	0.400	0.37	
Pot	assium :	r-mandel	ate.	Am	nonium	r-mande	late		Α	verage =	= 0.28	
1.646	1.233	0.160	0.57		1 107	0 171	0.40	Sa	dium r-	mandelat	e.	
1.909	1.430	0.269	0.58	1.288	1.197	0.171	0.40	1 4 4 9	1 000	0 119	0.90	
2.360	1.768	0.412	0.60	2.000	1.746	0.300	0.51	1.594	1.197	0.919	0.25	
	A	verage =	= 0.28	2.330	1.140	V97200 -	- 0.49	1.600	1.966	0.213	0.35	
Pota	ssium (·	—)mande	elate.		А	verage -	- 0.40	1.030	A A	verage =	= 0.34	
0.803	1.089	0.193	0.19	Amm	onium ((—)mand	elate.			vorago -		
0 ·970	1.312	0.384	0.36	0.757	1.025	0.157		Sod	lium (–)mandela	ite.	
	\mathbf{A}	verage =	= 0.27	0.884	1.199	0.358	0.22	0.737	0.998	0.059		
T i	thium r-	mandela	te	1.068	1.447	0.581	0.28	0.755	1.023	0.112		
1.413	1.058	0.100	0.25		Α	verage =	= 0.25	0.853	1.157	0.292	0.22	
1.491	1.117	0.200	0.24	ת	· · · · · ·		4.	0.877	1.188	0.341	0.22	
1.555	1.165	0.250	0.26	Bu	irium I-	manaeia	te.	1.008	1.367	0.616	0.22	
	A	verage =	= 0.25	1.450	1.086	0.062	0.58		A	verage =	= 0.22	
Lit	hium (–	-)mandel	late.	Baa	rium (–)mandel	ate.	Stro	ontium 1	-mandeld	ite.	
0.756	1.024	0.146	0.08	0.783	1.060	0.120	0.21	1.380	1.031	0.031	0.43	
0.766	1.039	0.186	0.09	0.812	1.102	0.166	0.26	Church		\	1	
0.788	1.068	0.246	0.11	0.840	1.139	0.198	0.28	51101	uum (-	–)manae	iate.	
	A	verage =	= 0.09		A	verage =	= 0.25	0.776	1.052	0.104	0.22	
Ca	ilcium r	-mandela	te.	Mag	nesium	r-mande	late	0.812	1.101	0.140	0.30	
	(See p.	267.)		1.407	1.054	0.130	0.18		А	verage =	= 0.20	
Cal	cium (–	-)mandel	late.	1 10,	1 001	0 100	0 10					
0.747	1.012	0.030	0.17	Magr	iesium (—)mand	elate.					
0.755	1.023	0.063	0.15	0.758	1.028	0.186	0.06					
0.776	1.052	0.075	0.29	0.792	1.074	0.294	0.10					
	Α	verage =	= 0.20		Α	verage =	= 0.08					

The solubility of the acid was found in solutions of metallic chlorides and nitrates at concentrations up to 1.0 g.-equiv./1000 g. of water. In order to eliminate the effect caused

by the two electrolytes having no common ion, solubility determinations were carried out in solutions containing also the normal mandelate of the metal at a concentration of 0.05 g.-mol./1000 g. of water. This has the effect of reducing the degree of dissociation of the acid to a very small constant value, and eliminates the initial rise in solubility which would otherwise result from double decomposition of the components.

The chlorides, nitrates, and mandelic acid used were all purified as far as possible. Two identical experiments in which potassium chloride was used with (-)- and with (+)-mandelic acid having given the same results, the latter acid was employed in the experiments.

5 G. of a solution containing 0.05 mol. of mandelate per 1000 g. of water were taken for each solubility determination, and weighed portions of the chloride or nitrate added. Duplicate experiments were carried out in each case : in one, excess acid was stirred for 4-5 hours at 25° , and in the other, excess acid was dissolved at a higher temperature, allowed to crystallise at 25° , and stirred for the same time. The acid in the filtered solution was estimated by titration. Owing to their deliquescent nature, the concentration of the chlorides of lithium, calcium, and magnesium was found by titration.

In order to obtain comparative figures for thallous salts, experiments were carried out with the nitrate, the chloride being too sparingly soluble. The introduction of a nitrate ion in this case introduced a further complication, so it was deemed advisable to compare the effect with those of the nitrates of the alkali metals.

The experimental results are in Table II, the rows labelled r and (+) referring to r- and (+) mandelic acid respectively. Negative values of K represent a salting-out effect.

	Acid	sls	с.	К.	Acid	slsa	с.	К.	Acid	s/s.	c	V
	concia.		ahlowida		D	-,-u-	chlowid		т Т	of a seiter		n.
		Cæsium	chioriae	•	1 000	1 000	0.000		P	oiassiun	i chioria	е.
	1.402	1.010	0.000	1 0.02	1.332	1.000	0.909	_0.13	1.308	1.000	0.000	
	1.495	1.010	0.202	+0.02 +0.02	1.167	0.876	0.202	-0.13	1.275	0.907	0.202	-0.14
r .	1.433	1.022	0.404	+0.02		Āv	erage =	-0.13	1.248	0.912	0.202 0.276	-0.13
		Av	erage =	+0.02			U		1.203	0.879	0.404	-0.14
	l		•							Av	erage =	-0.14
	(0.744	1.000	0.000		0.738	1.000	0.000		0.724	1.000	0.000	
	0.747	1.004	0.101	+0.02	0.729	0.988	0.101	-0.05	0.715	0.987	0.101	-0.06
(+)·	$\{0.751$	1.009	0.202	+0.02	0.717	0.971	0.202	-0.06	0.692	0.955	0.202	-0.10
	0.758	1.016	0.404	+0.02	0.091	0.945	0.404	-0.06	0.001	0.920	0.404	-0.09
	L L	Av	erage =	+0.02		nv	ciage -	-0.00		AV	erage =	-0.08
	A	mmoniu	m chlorid	le.		Sodium	chloride.		1	Lithium	chloride	•
	<u>ر 1·356</u>	1.000	0.000		1.348	1.000	0.000		1.386	1.000	0.000	
	1.295	0.955	0.101	-0.50	1.254	0.930	0.101	-0.31	1.207	0.871	0.107	-0.56
	1.232	0.909	0.202	-0.21	1.177	0.873	0.202	-0.29	1.037	0.748	0.269	-0.47
	1.171	0.864	0.353	-0.18	1.097	0.762	0.303	-0.27 -0.20	0.954	0.688	0.403	-0.40
	{	AV	erage ==	-0.70	1021	Av	erage =	-0.29		AV	erage =	-0.48
	-0.791	1.000	0.000		0.722	1.000	0.000		0.730	1.000	0.000	
	0.709	0.984	0.101	-0.07	0.694	0.961	0.101	-0.17	0.701	0.961	0.095	-0.18
	0.689	Q.956	0.202	-0.10	0.660	0.914	0.202	-0.19	0.681	0.934	0.165	-0.18
(+)	10.677	0.939	0.303	-0.09	0.638	0.884	0.303	-0.18	0.667	0.914	0.192	-0.20
	0.662	0.918	0.404	-0.09	0.611	0.846	0.404	-0.18	0.641	0.878	0.266	-0.51
	L L	Av	verage =	-0.08		Av	erage =	-0.18		Av	erage =	-0.19
		Barium	chloride		S	trontium	ı chloride	e.	(Calcium	chloride	
	1.345)	1.000	0.000		1.292	1.000	0.000			(See p	. 267.)	
	1.315	0.978	0.101	-0.10	1.214	0.940	0.104	-0.20				
7	$\{1.260$	0.937	0.202	-0.14	1.129	0.874	0.390	-0.17				
	1.183	0.830	0.303 erage	-0.18 -0.14		11	verage	-018				
	CO 799	1.000	0.000		0.794	1.000	0.000		0.745	1.000	0.000	
	0.735 0.716	0.977	0.085	-0.12	0.677	0.935	0.202	-0.15	0.725	0.974	0.143	0
	0.680	0.927	0.171	-0.20	0.644	0.889	0.404	-0.16	0.712	0.957	0.221	-0.09
(+)	0.663	0.905	0.341	-0.13		Av	erage =	-0.12	0.692	0.929	0.264	-0.12
• • •	1	Av	rerage =	-0.15					0.665	0.893	0.327	-0.12
	1								0.647	0.869	0.450	-0.14
	ι									Av	erage ==	

TABLE II.

TABLE II (contd.)

Acid				Acid		_	72	Acid			v
concn.	s/s _o .	с.	К.	concn.	s/s ₀ .	с.	A.	соцец.	S/S0.	ι.	л.
М	'agnes iu s	m chlorid	le.		Cæs ium	nitrate.		Thallous nitrate.			
$\left\{\begin{matrix} 1\cdot339\\1\cdot106\end{matrix}\right.$	1.000 0.826	0.000 0.285	-0.29	1.402 1.464 1.567 1.581 1.670	1.000 1.044 1.118 1.128 1.191 A	0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404 verage =	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.19 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.19 \\ = 0.20 \end{array} $	1·430 1·571 1·674 1·923	1.000 1.099 1.170 1.345 A	0.000 0.101 0.202 0.404 verage =	0.41 0.34 0.32 = 0.36
$(+) \begin{cases} 0.709\\ 0.644\\ 0.589 \end{cases}$	1.000 0.909 0.830 Av	$\begin{array}{r} 0.000\\ 0.216\\ 0.411\\ \end{array}$	-0.19 -0.20 -0.19	0·744 0·762 0·783 0·810 0·836	1.000 1.024 1.052 1.089 1.124 A	0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404 verage =	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 10 \\ 0 \cdot 11 \\ 0 \cdot 12 \\ 0 \cdot 13 \\ = 0 \cdot 12 \end{array} $	$0.758 \\ 0.804 \\ 0.858 \\ 0.951$	1.000 1.061 1.132 1.255 A	$0.000 \\ 0.101 \\ 0.202 \\ 0.404 \\ verage =$	0·25 0·27 0·24 = 0·25
1	Rubidiur	n nitrate		I	Potassiur	m nitrate		Α	mmoniu	m nitrate	е.
$(+) \begin{cases} 1.382\\ 1.408\\ 1.431\\ 1.456\\ 1.490 \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 1.019\\ 1.035\\ 1.054\\ 1.076\\ A\\ 1.000\\ 1.013\\ 1.028\\ 1.035\\ 1.048\\ \end{array}$	0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404 verage = 0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404	$\begin{array}{c}$	1.373 1.390 1.408 1.432 0.732 0.735 0.738 0.740	$\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 1.013\\ 1.025\\ 1.043\\ A\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 1.005\\ 1.009\\ 1.011\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.101\\ 0.202\\ 0.404\\ \text{verage} = \\ 0.000\\ 0.101\\ 0.202\\ 0.404\\ \text{verage} = \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ 0 \cdot 06 \\ & & & \\ 0 \cdot 05 \\ & & & \\ 0 \cdot 05 \\ \end{array}$ $= & 0 \cdot 02 \\ & & 0 \cdot 01 \\ = & 0 \cdot 02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.380\\ 1.385\\ 1.385\\ 1.382\\ 1.370\\ 0.730\\ 0.731\\ 0.729\\ 0.733\\ 0.733\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 1.003\\ 1.003\\ 1.001\\ 0.991\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 1.000\\ 1.000\\ 1.000\\ 1.000\\ \end{array} $	0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404 0.000 0.101 0.202 0.303 0.404	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
L	А	verage =	= 0.02								
$(+) \begin{cases} 0.722\\ 0.715\\ 0.700\\ 0.676 \end{cases}$	odium n 1.000 0.983 0.968 0.917 Av 1.000 0.991 0.969 0.937 Av	itrate. 0.000 0.101 0.202 0.404 erage = 0.000 0.101 0.202 0.404 rerage =	$-\frac{-0.08}{-0.07}$ -0.09 -0.08 $$ -0.04 -0.07 -0.07 -0.06	1·342 1·276 1·227 1·121 0·722 0·707 0·688 0·652	Lithium 1.000 0.950 0.915 0.835 Av 1.000 0.978 0.953 0.903 Av	$\begin{array}{l} nitrate. \\ 0.000 \\ 0.101 \\ 0.202 \\ 0.404 \\ erage = \\ 0.000 \\ 0.101 \\ 0.202 \\ 0.404 \\ erage = \\ \end{array}$	$-0.22 \\ -0.19 \\ -0.19 \\ -0.20 \\ \\ -0.09 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.11 \\ -0.10$				

The foregoing results are summarised in Table III, in which a and b represent the difference between the value of K for the metal mandelate $(K_{\rm M})$ and for its chloride $(K_{\rm MCl})$ or nitrate respectively.

For the data of Table I, with certain of the metallic ions only a small range of concentrations of mandelic acid can be investigated, as acid salts are deposited at relatively low concentrations (see also original curves, *loc. cit.*). On this account the values of K are not so constant as in the data of Table II, where a larger range of concentrations is available. In particular, with *r*-mandelic acid and calcium mandelate no values of K can be calculated.

We may take $K_{\rm M}$ as representing the salting-out effect of the metallic ion + compound formation (salting-in effect), and $K_{\rm MCl}$ as denoting the joint salting-out effects of the metallic ion and of the chloride ion. The differences a and b will then represent the compound formation effect + the salting-out effect of the chloride and nitrate ion respectively, and will be independent of the salting-out effect of the metallic ion. Since the values obtained for a and b are approximately constant, we may conclude that the compound formation effect is independent of the metallic ion present. The effect of the metallic mandelates is therefore due to a combination of the mandelic acid with the mandelate ion of the types : HA,A', 2HA,A', and 3HA,A', where A represents the mandelate ion.

It is generally agreed that the size of the ions determines the extent of the salting-out effect of a salt, and since potassium and chloride ions are approximately of the same size, we may assume, with Larsson (*loc. cit.*, 1931), that $K_{\mathbf{K}} = K_{\mathbf{Cl}'}$. Since $K_{\mathbf{KCl}} = -0.14$,

	Mandelate.	Chloride.	Nitrate.	а.	<i>b</i> .
		Racemic acid			
Cs	0.85	0.02	0.20	0.83	0.65
Tl	0.68		0.36		0.32
Rb	0.66	-0.13	0.08	0.79	0.58
К	0.58	-0.14	0.05	0.72	0.53
NH4	0.49	-0.50	0.00	0.69	0.49
Na	0.34	-0.29	-0.08	0.63	0.42
Li	0.25	-0.48	-0.50	0.73	0.45
Ba	0.58	-0.14		0.72	
Sr	0.43	-0.18		0.61	
Mg	0.18	-0.29		0.47	
-			Averag	e = 0.69	0.49
		Active acid.	0		
T1	0.47		0.25		0.22
Cs	0.30	0.02	0.12	0.28	0.18
Rb	0.28	-0.06	0.02	0.34	0.23
К	0.27	-0.08	0.02	0.35	0.25
NH ₄	0.25	-0.09	0.00	0.34	0.25
Na	0.22	-0.18	-0.06	0.40	0.28
Li	0.09	-0.19	-0.10	0.28	0.19
Sr	0.26	-0.12		0.41	
Ba	0.25	-0.12		0.40	
Са	0.20	-0.15		0.32	
Mg	0.08	-0.19		0.27	
-			Averag	e = 0.34	0.23

TABLE III.

then $K_{\rm K^*} = K_{\rm Cl'} = -0.07$ for the racemic acid, and $K_{\rm KCl} = -0.08$, giving $K_{\rm K^*} = K_{\rm Cl'} = -0.04$ for the active acid. The constant derived from the results of salting-out with metallic chlorides, representing the extent of compound formation for all the metallic mandelates, will then be K = 0.69 + (-0.07) = 0.62, and for the active acid K = 0.34 + (-0.04) = 0.30. Taking the values of K for potassium nitrate = +0.05 and +0.02 for the r- and the (+)-acid respectively, we get $K_{\rm NO_4'} = +0.12$ and +0.06. The corresponding values of K representing the extent of compound formation for all the metallic mandelates are therefore K = 0.49 + 0.12 = 0.61, and K = 0.23 + 0.06 = 0.29 respectively. The values of K for compound formation after correction are therefore in both cases in close agreement. These results indicate that there is a marked difference in the extent of compound formation between the racemic acid and its salts, and between the active acid and its salts, the former being twice as great as the latter.

If we calculate the solubility of the acid for different concentrations of salt from the equation $Kc = \log s/s_0$, using K = 0.62 and $s_0 = 1.335$ for the racemic acid, and K = 0.30 and $s_0 = 0.738$ for the active acid, we get the following results:

Racemic acid :	s	 1.54	1.77	2.05	2.36
	с	 0.1	0.5	0.3	0.4
Active acid :	5	 0.79	0.84	0.90	0.96
	С	 0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4

If in the case of the racemic acid we calculate the value of s - 3c, which is equal to the concentration of free acid on the assumption that the 3:1 acid salt is formed in solution, we get the values $1\cdot 24$, $1\cdot 17$, $1\cdot 15$, and $1\cdot 16$ respectively, giving a fairly constant value for the concentration of free acid in the solution. Similarly for the active acid we may calculate the value of s - c on the assumption that the 1:1 acid salt is found in solution, and obtain the values $0\cdot 69$, $0\cdot 64$, $0\cdot 60$, and $0\cdot 56$. It would appear, therefore, that with the *r*-and the active acid the 3:1 and the 1:1 acid salts respectively are formed to a marked extent in solution. Some support for this conclusion is derived from the fact that the 3:1 acid salts are common with racemic acid, but have not been isolated with the active acid.

One of us (C. J.) thanks the Carnegie Trust for the award of a scholarship.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, DUNDEE, UNIVERSITY OF ST. ANDREWS.

[Received, November 27th, 1937.]